

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/090,277	03/04/2002	Maximillian Fleischer	A35006 (071308.0294)	4174
21003	7590 12/14/2006		EXAM	INER
BAKER & BOTTS L.L.P.			SIEFKE, SAMUEL P	
30 ROCKEFE	ELLER PLAZA			
44TH FLOOR	t		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK,	NY 10112-4498		1743	
	••		DATE MAILED: 12/14/2004	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/090,277	FLEISCHER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Samuel P. Siefke	1743	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNION (36(a). In no event, however, may a right apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become AE	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Se	entember 2006		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E		-	
·	A parto quayro, 1000 O.B		
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4-12</u> is/are pending in the app	lication.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.		
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11</u> is/are allowed.		·	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2, 4-10 and 12</u> is/are rejected.		·	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	·	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	f.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. &	119(a)-(d) or (f)	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority and of o.o.o. 3		
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		polication No	
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
application from the International Bureau	•		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		received.	
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
Attachment(s)	proces		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		s)/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims **1**, **4** and **5** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tsutsumi et al. (USPN 3,663,870).

Tsutsumi discloses a semiconductor device passivated with a rare earth oxide layer. The semiconductor device comprises a gas sensitive field effect transistor that comprises a substrate (fig. 6 ref. 71; col. 1, lines 50-70) having a source (72) and a drain (73) areas and at least one gate electrode (G in fig 6) associated with a gas sensitive layer comprising an inorganic metal oxide (scandium oxide; col. 5, lines 70-73; col. 2, lines 26-66) applied to the substrate (col. 6, line 66- col. 7, line 39). The gate electrode is physically separated from the substrate by the inorganic metal oxide layer 76 and 77. Further the gate electrode is physically separated from the source and drain regions by air gaps and the inorganic metal oxide layer 76 and 77. The gate electrode is parallel to the source and drain regions in that the gate electrode is between the source and the drain. The semiconductor further comprises an electrical heater (45 and 46; col. 3, lines 26-29). The semiconductor further comprises a plurality of different gas sensitive layers (col.6, lines 18-23).

Claims 1, 2, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by DE 4028062.

DE '062 discloses a gas sensor for measuring concentration of organic vapor in aromatic mixtures with polysiloxane absorbent contiguous substance forming ions or disposed over gate with wide sensitivity range for alcohols etc. (abstract). The sensor comprises a semiconductor substrate (2) with source (3) and drain (4) and an insulating film (5) on the substrate covered with a metal film with breaks (6) and gate (7). The gate electrode is physically separated from the substrate by the insulation film 5.

Further the gate electrode is physically separated from the source and drain regions by insulation film 5. The gate electrode is parallel to the source and drain regions. A change in the threshold potential is used as sensor signal. Outside of the gate (7) is covered with a layer (8) of an adsorbent (I) for the target molecules of vapor of organic molecules contiguous substrate (II) producing ions or dipoles (abstract).

Claims 1, 6, 7, 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated Inami et al. (USPN 4,638,346).

Inami discloses a field effect transistor type moisture sensor that comprises a field effect transistor device incorporated with a moisture sensitive means (abstract; col.col. 1, line 17- col. 2, line 9), the electrostatic capacity or the electrical conductivity of which varies with the absorption and the desorption of water vapor or moisture, wherein the moisture sensitive means is disposed on a gate insulating film of the field effect transistor device to form an electrode structure (abstract). The sensor comprises a source (2) and a drain (3) on silicon substrate (1). The surface of the silicon substrate is

covered with a silicon dioxide film having through holes for the source (2) and the drain (3). Double layers of the silicon dioxide film (5) and a silicon nitride film on the silicon substrate form between the source (2) and drain (3) a gate insulating film (100) (col. 4, lines 18-41). The gate electrode is physically separated from the substrate by layers 5, 6 and 7. Further the gate electrode is physically separated from the source and drain regions by layers 5, 6 and 7. The gate electrode is parallel to the source and drain regions. The moisture sensor containing a metal oxide film has an excellent heat resistance and responds rapidly and has a high temperature resistance coefficient, and a gas insensitive transistor for compensating for temperature effects (col. 2, lines 35-41; col. 3, lines 45-49; col. 4, lines 61-68).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims **7**, **8**, **9** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DE 4028062 in view of Inami et al. (USPN 4,638,346).

DE '062 discloses a gas sensor for measuring concentration of organic vapor in aromatic mixtures with polysiloxane absorbent contiguous substance forming ions or disposed over gate with wide sensitivity range for alcohols.

DE '062 does not employ a moisture sensitive layer.

Inami discloses a field effect transistor type moisture sensor that comprises a field effect transistor device incorporated with a moisture sensitive means, the electrostatic capacity or the electrical conductivity of which varies with the absorption and the desorption of water vapor or moisture, wherein the moisture sensitive means is disposed on a gate insulating film of the field effect transistor device to form an electrode structure (col. 3, lines 31-56). It would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art to modify DE '062 to include the moisture sensitive layer of Inami to provide a more precise and balanced measurement because moisture interferes with alcohol detection (abstract DE '062) is known in the art.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 11 is allowed.

The prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a gas sensitive layer as claimed comprising a polycyclopentylsilsesquioxane.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 9/25/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues, Tsutsumi fails to teach every limitations of claims 1, 4 and 5, specifically pointing to a physical separation between the substrate having a source and drain and the gate electrode. The Examiner points out that Tsutsumi discloses a substrate having a source and a drain that are physically separate to the gate electrode in that the air gaps and the inorganic metal oxide layer 76 and 77 provide the physical separation. Therefore Tsutsumi discloses all the claim limitations of claims 1, 4 and 5.

Applicant argues, '062 patent fails to teach every limitations of claims 1 and 2 specifically pointing to a physical separation between the substrate have a source and drain and the gate electrode. The Examiner points out that '062 discloses a substrate having a source and a drain that are physically separate to the gate electrode in that the insulation film provide the physical separation. Therefore '062 discloses all the claim limitations of claims 1, 2 and 12.

Applicant argues, Inami fails to teach every limitations of claims 1, 6, 7 and 10 specifically pointing to a physical separation between the substrate having a source and drain and the gate electrode. The Examiner points out that Inami discloses a substrate having a source and a drain that are physically separate to the gate electrode in that the insulation films 5, 6 and 7 provide the physical separation. Therefore Inami discloses all the claim limitations of claims 1, 6, 7 and 10.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel P. Siefke whose telephone number is 571-272-1262. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill A. Warden can be reached on 571-272-1700. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Sam P. Siefke

December 6, 2006

Page 7